



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_32393
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparison of Parasitological Techniques (microscopy) and Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) of DNA in Diagnosis and Monitoring Treatment of Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense Infection in Vervet Monkeys (Chlorocebus aethiops)
Type of the Article	?

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author did a nice work by comparing microscopic and LAMP of DNA in Diagnosis and Monitoring Treatment of Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense Infection in Vervet Monkeys (Chlorocebus aethiops). All suggested corrections are highlighted yellow in the body of the manuscript. The abstract words should be reduce to the journal specification accepted words. The headings... Introduction, material and method, results, discussion, reference should be align to the left side of the page with 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0 respectively. All references numbers in the body of the manuscript should start serially from [1] rather than the [9] it started from the introduction section, then follow suits till it got to the discussion section. The rearrangement should be effected in the references section under the conclusion.	All the correction are highlighted in yellow The abstract has been reduced to 300 words The headings are aligned to the left and numbered as suggested Reference have serialized as suggested
Minor REVISION comments	It will be appreciated if one or two pictorial image of the microscopic is inserted in the body of the manuscript.	A pictorial DNA amplified by lamp and its detection has been inserted
Optional/General comments		